STEPHEN S. TROTT 1 Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division 2 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 3 United States Attorney 4 WILLIAM T. MCGIVERN Assistant U.S. Attorney 5 Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue 6 Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 FTS 556-8477 8 VICTOR STONE Counsel for Special and 9 Appellate Matters General Litigation and 10 Legal Advice Section U.S. Department of Justice 11 P.O. Box 887 Washington, D.C. 20044-0887 12 FTS 724-7144 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 FRED TOYOSABURO KOREMATSU, Petitioner, 15 NO. CR-27635W-MHP 16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 17 Respondent. 18 19 20

## GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE AND MOTION UNDER L.R. 220.6

In 1942, petitioner was one of a very few standard bearers who chose to challenge the propriety of World War II military orders which resulted in the mass evacuation of over one-hundred thousand persons of Japanese ancestry from the west coast.

Although the judiciary questioned the wisdom of those military orders, Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214, 225 (Frankfurter J. concurring), it affirmed petitioner's misdemearor conviction because it upheld the very broad discretionary

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

authority of the Legislative and Executive Branches of government acting together in wartime. Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214, 217-218 (1944).

Both of those branches of government have long since concluded that the mass evacuation was part of an unfortunate episode in our nation's history. In the 1976 presidential proclamation formally rescinding Executive Order 9066, President Ford praised the sacrifices and contributions of Japanese-Americans and called upon the American people to affirm with him the lesson "learned from the tragedy of that long-ago experience forever to treasure liberty and justice for each individual American, and resolve that this kind of action shall never again be repeated." Proclamation No. 4417. 41 Fed. Reg. No. 35 p. 7741 (Feb. 20, 1976).

The Legislative Branch has acted likewise. Even before the creation in 1980 of the Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of Civilians, Congress enacted 18 U.S.C. 4001(a) in 1971 which provides that "no citizen shall be . . . detained by the United States except pursuant to an Act of Congress." The only Act of Congress which had allowed such action, Public Law 77-503, then codified at 18 U.S.C. 1383 (which petitioner was convicted of violating in 1942) has been explicitly repealed. P.L. 94-412, Title V, § 501(e), 90 Stat. 1258 (1976).

In this specific context, the government has concluded -without any intention to disparage those persons who made the
decisions in question -- that it would not be appropriate to
defend this forty year old misdemeanor conviction. Because we
believe that it is time to put behind us the controversy which

led to the mass evacuation in 1942 and instead to reaffirm the inherent right of each person to be treated as an individual, it is singularly appropriate to vacate this conviction for non-violent civil disobedience. It is also the intention of the government to extend the same relief to other similarly situated individuals who request it.

There is, therefore, no continuing reason in this setting

There is, therefore, no continuing reason in this setting for this court to convene hearings or make findings about petitioner's allegations of governmental wrongdoing in the 1940's. Moreover, as the Commission found after spending three years and more than \$1 million dollars, no completely satisfactory answer can be reached about these emotion laden issues from this vantage point in history. See, Addendum and Additional Views to Commission's Report.

Having recited above the current valid national interests to be served by vacating this misdemeanor conviction and dismissing the indictment at this time, the government hereby moves to vacate petitioner's conviction and dismiss the underlying indictment. See <a href="Rinaldi v. United States">Rinaldi v. United States</a>, 434 U.S. 22 (1978), and <a href="United States">United States</a> v. <a href="Hamm">Hamm</a>, 659 F.2d 624, 631 (5th Cir. 1981) (en banc).

-4-

Thereupon, petitioner having received all the relief which this Court can render, the petition should be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN S. TROTT Assistant Attorney General Criminal Division

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney

WILLIAM T. MCGIVERN Assistant U.S. Attorney Morthorn District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 FTS 556-8477

VICTOR STONE
Counsel for Special and
Appellate Matters
General Litigation and
Legal Advice Section
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 887
Washington, D.C. 20044-0887
FTS 724-7144

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
ited States Attorney	
LLIAM T. WIGIVERN	
FRED TOYOSABURO KOREMATSU, ) Petitioner, )	
v. ;	NO. CR-27635W-MHP
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	
Respondent. )	
Sport late Matters	
shington, D.C. 20044-0887	
8 724-7144. ORD	JEK .
The government's motion to v	
he indictment and coram nobis pe	etition is hereby granted.
he indictment and coram nobis pe	
he indictment and coram nobis pe	etition is hereby granted.
he indictment and coram nobis pe	etition is hereby granted.
he indictment and coram nobis pe	etition is hereby granted.
DATE F HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District C
DATE F HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District C
DATE & HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of
DATE F HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of the west coast.
DATE & HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of the west coast
DATE & HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of the west coast
DATE & HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of the west cost.
DATE F HON. MARILYN	HALL PATEL, U.S., District of the wisdom of those distance and the wisdom of those distance and petitioner's misdement